### KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: Acting out a comic (GK Opt 1)

#### A performance

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The group members					
- played their roles on stage using body					
language, voice and eye-contact to express					
their character's feelings and attitudes.					
- interacted with their partners and did not					
just wait for their turn to say their sentence.					
- played their role fluently. There were no					
hesitations or 'blackouts'.					
- embedded the scene in a plot. It was not					
just a sequence of isolated scenes.					
- used props to enhance the setting and the					
play.					
Total points for performance (max 100)					

Total points for performance: (max. 100)

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

Give the group some good advice on aspects they could improve:

# KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: Reading out a comic transformed into a prose text (GK Opt 1)

A reading

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The reader					
- spoke freely and without stumbling.					
- spoke loudly and clearly.					
- spoke at an adequate pace and made					
pauses when necessary.					
- used correct pronunciation.					

Total points for reading: (max. 80)

Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

### KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: Acting out a comic (EK Opt 2)

#### A performance

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention	no points
The group members really turned the				(1-5)	
comic into a story					
- by linking the scenes and adding					
supplementary information about the action					
and the setting.					
- by describing the characters with					
adjectives.					
- by using different verbs to introduce the					
direct speech.					
There was a climax and the text contained					
suspense.					
There was an ending.					
The text contained humour.					
Total points for parformances (may 120)					

**Total points for performance: (max. 120)** 

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

#### Give the group some good advice on aspects they could improve:

# KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: Reading out a comic transformed into a prose text (EK Opt 2)

A reading

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention	no points
	(	()	(* - *)	(1-5)	
The text was read without major errors in					
grammar or vocabulary.					
All group members read a part of the story.					
The readers read without disrupting the					
flow of the story (no giggling etc.).					
The reading was fluent.					
The pronunciation was correct.					
The intonation enhanced the meaning.					
The voices were used to express feelings.					
The parts of the storyteller and the					
characters were clearly distinguishable.					
Total points for reading: (may 160)	•	•			

Total points for reading: (max. 160)

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

# KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A PowerPoint presentation about three jobs $(GK \ Opt \ 2)$

### A PowerPoint presentation

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The presentation					
- was structured (introduction, main part,					
and conclusion).					
- of the content was supported by headings					
and graphics.					
- of the slides was clear. They had an					
adequate font size (Schriftgröße) and were					
not overloaded with text.					
- did not have language errors (grammar,					
punctuation, spelling).					
- provided correct information on the jobs					
(skills needed and things you have to do in					
the jobs).					
The presenter(s)					
- kept eye contact with the audience most of					
the time.					
- referred to the slides and did not just read					
them out.					
- spoke English all the time.					
- showed the slides in the right pace.					
- participated actively in the presentation.					
- mentioned sources and cited quotations					
correctly.					
- asked questions that were easy to					
understand.					
Total points for presentation: (may 240)					

**Total points for presentation: (max. 240)** 

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

# KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A PowerPoint presentation about five jobs $(EK \ Opt \ 4)$

#### A PowerPoint presentation

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The presentation					
- was structured (introduction, main part,					
and conclusion).					
- of the content was supported by headings					
and graphics.					
- did not have language errors (grammar,					
punctuation, spelling).					
- of the slides was clear. They had an					
adequate font size (Schriftgröße) and were					
not overloaded with text.					
- provided correct information on the jobs					
(skills needed and things you have to do in					
the jobs).					
The presenter(s)					
- kept eye contact with the audience most of					
the time.					
- referred to the slides and did not just read					
them out.					
- spoke English all the time.					
- showed the slides in the right pace.					
- participated actively in the presentation.					
- mentioned sources and cited quotations					
correctly.					
- asked questions that were easy to					
understand.					
Total points for presentation: (may 240)					

**Total points for presentation: (max. 240)** 

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

## KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A talk about three jobs $({\rm GK}~{\rm Opt}~2)$ A talk

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The talk					
- was structured (introduction, main part,					
and conclusion).					
The speaker(s)					
- explained difficult vocabulary in English.					
- used correct pronunciation.					
- explained different things you have to do					
in the jobs.					
- presented arguments by using structures to					
compare the pros and cons of the jobs.					
- gave correct information about the					
qualifications needed in the jobs.					
- explained convincingly why they would					
(not) like to do the jobs.					
- used a variety of sentence beginnings.					
- participated actively in the talk.					
- spoke with a confident voice, looked up					
from their notes and spoke freely.					
- used body language to help the audience					
understand the text.					
- evaluated the survey in English.					
Total points for talk: (max. 240)					

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

## KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A talk about five jobs $(EK\ Opt\ 4)$ A talk

	excellent	good	OK	needs more	no points
	(16-20)	(11-15)	(6-10)	attention	
				(1-5)	
The talk					
- was structured (introduction, main part,					
and conclusion).					
The speaker(s)					
- explained difficult vocabulary in English.					
- used correct pronunciation.					
- explained different things you have to do					
in the jobs.					
- presented arguments by using structures to					
compare the pros and cons of the jobs.					
- gave correct information about the					
qualifications needed in the jobs.					
- explained convincingly why they would					
(not) like to do the jobs.					
- used a variety of sentence beginnings.					
- participated actively in the talk.					
- spoke with a confident voice, looked up					
from their notes and spoke freely.					
- used body language to help the audience					
understand the text.					
- evaluated the survey in English.					
Total points for talk: (max. 240)					

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

### KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A talk show (EK Opt 1) A talk show

A talk show	•	•			
	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The host					
- introduced the guests to the audience.					
- explained the problem and opened the					
discussion.					
- made sure that every guest could give his					
statement.					
- involved the audience.					
- kept the conversation going. (Summing					
up, evolving new aspects, asking					
questions).					
- summed up the discussion in the end.					
- thanked the guests and the audience in the					
end.					
The guests					
- played their roles convincingly.					
- spoke and acted like their characters.					
- interacted and did not just present isolated					
statements.					
- spoke clearly.					
- used elements that made the discussion					
sound natural like fillers, repetitions.					
There was a conclusion:					
Opting for one solution and giving reasons					
for the decision.					

Total points for talk show: (max. 160)

Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

#### KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A display (EK Opt 3) A display

A display					
	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The title of the poster is catchy and					
informative.					
The poster can be read by all students in the					
classroom, even from a distance.					
The display's design is aesthetic in terms of					
layout, illustrations and neatness.					
The poster appeals to young people through					
its language and its design.					
Creative slogans and visual ideas transport					
the 'message'.					
The pictures help to understand the facts.					
The poster contains at least five facts about					
the dangers of drug abuse.					
The presenters made no mistakes					
(grammar, vocabulary) and spoke English					
all the time.					
The presentation was well rehearsed. There					
were no long pauses and hesitations.					
All students in the group could answer					
questions about their poster.					
The sources of borrowed pictures and					
graphics were cited.					
Total points for display: (max. 220)					

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?

## **KV: Peer evaluation of presentation: A rap performance** (EK Opt 3) **A performance**

	excellent (16-20)	good (11-15)	OK (6-10)	needs more attention (1-5)	no points
The rap has a typical beat and the pace is					
maintained during the whole presentation.					
Lyrics, rhymes and rhythm fit together.					
The lyrics can be understood.					
There is a clearly understandable refrain.					
The text contains important information on					
the topic 'dangers of drug abuse'.					
There are no language errors that make					
understanding difficult.					
The performance shows that the group					
members know their text by heart.					
They use body language to enhance their					
performance.					
The performance shows the group's					
confidence. It is natural and convincing.					
<b>Total points for performance: (max. 180)</b>					

\_ \_

#### Give the class some positive feedback. What did you like best?