

## Landmarks in British history: The monarchy

### Possible answers and solutions

#### Content:

- Describe the way in which the members of the royal family live.
  - they live a luxurious life; waste lots of money
  - some members of the family behave in an antisocial way
- What is a 'value-for-money monarchy'?
  - the costs for the monarchy are extremely high
  - but: these costs are paid, provided that the monarchy is of superior quality and importance
- Point out the various reasons why, according to Roy Hattersley's article, the British monarchy might be at risk.
  - The republican principle is against the monarchy.
  - The public is upset about the high cost of the extravagant lifestyle of the royals.
  - A hereditary head of state is old-fashioned, an anachronism.
  - There is a contradiction between the monarch as a human being and his/her high position.
  - But above all the monarchy becomes the target of ridicule because of the wrong approach in explaining the high costs (not explaining the costs can be seen as arrogant, but explaining them is embarrassing, which is worse).
- Examine the stylistic devices Hattersley uses to make his point more forcefully.

<b>Alliterations</b>	render Hattersley's statement more forceful
"wastrel princelings prejudice the public" (I.2)	reinforces the mocking tone
"blood and birth" (I.3)	emphasis
"neither right nor reasonable" (II.3–4)	emphasis
"Elizabeth the Circumspect" (I.5), "Edward the Irresponsible" (II.5–6)	adjectives in both names used to characterize both monarchs and to emphasize their typical characteristic features
"Subaru salesman" (I.16)	emphasis comparison salesman = Reid sceptical customer = public
"gluttony of the guests" (I.38)	emphasis
"myth of monarchy" (II.45–46)	emphasis

#### Task 3 aims at

*Anforderungsbereich 1*, the content of the text.

**Point out** means you have to find and explain certain aspects.

It may help to underline the key terms in the text. Then take notes on the most important reasons (see the examples on the left), which will help you to phrase your written summary. Use your own words as far as possible.

#### Task 4 also aims at

*Anforderungsbereich 2* (text analysis).

In Hattersley's article a single stylistic device predominates. Have a closer look at the examples you have found in the text. Does the effect change if you replace them by synonyms, e.g. *Toyota car dealer* instead of *Subaru salesman*?

Other devices	
<p><i>Personification:</i> “Even today, a monarchy can survive being hated or despised. But being the object of ridicule is lethal.” (ll.41–42)</p>	Both “survive” and “lethal” imply that the monarchy is alive, thus heightening the danger the monarchy is in.
<p><i>Contrast:</i> Prince Charles “pontificates” (l.31) his views but produces “embarrassingly silly” (ll.31–32) results.</p>	The dogmatic way of expressing his views contrasts with their silliness, thereby heightening it.
<p><i>Irony:</i> “A spokesman, demonstrating a grasp of arithmetic that is beyond question ...” (ll.39–40)</p>	The irony exposes how trivial the calculation is, thereby ridiculing the court.

5. Exemplify the term “the myth of monarchy” (ll.45–46). Do you agree with Hattersley that a monarchy cannot be “simultaneously regal and human” (l.47)?

‘Myth’ suggests an extraordinary, maybe supernatural quality; thus the “myth of monarchy” means that

- the public is fascinated by the royal pomp and glamour.
- the public is reminded of fairy tales, princes and princesses; the royals appear special and superior because they are distanced from everyday life.
- the people only see the public role of the monarch.
- the people feel reverence and admiration for the monarch.

BUT as soon as the royals become ordinary people with ordinary problems, the myth is destroyed, e.g. when

- details from the monarch’s private life show that he/she is a normal human being after all.
- aggressive media report scandals and spread embarrassing gossip.
- the royal family can be seen everywhere at any time (e.g. on TV, in magazines, etc.).
- the monarchy constantly has to give justifications for the money it spends, even for private things.

#### Comment:

6. Which advantages and disadvantages do you see in having a hereditary head of state? Give your own opinion.

#### *Disadvantages:*

- Most European countries have economic problems and democratically elected heads of state are cheaper, as there is no royal court or family to support.
- Electing the head of state, e.g. a president, is more democratic.
- Birth as a member of the royal family does not guarantee that a prince/princess is suited to become head of state.
- The religious argument for monarchies, which stated that the monarch was chosen by God, is not very strong any more.

#### *Advantages:*

- The long tradition of monarchy strengthens the representative function of the head of state.
- The monarch stands above political parties and is not involved in their disagreements.
- The crown prince/princess is being prepared for his/her high office from childhood.
- The glamour accompanying hereditary heads of state, i.e. monarchs, is attractive for tourists.

**Task 5** aims at *Anforderungsbereich 3*.

**Exemplify** means you have to point out and illustrate a term, for example.

**Task 6** also aims at *Anforderungsbereich 3*.

First collect advantages and disadvantages, as shown on the left. Then write a comment using your arguments.

**Translation:**

Der Aberglaube, auf dem die Theorie der Erbnachfolge basiert, behauptet, dass die königliche Familie sich von uns anderen unterscheidet.

Kein vernünftiger Mensch bringt das Argument vor, dass der Prinz von Wales den Thron besteigen solle, weil er Experte für Architektur, Bildung oder irgendeines der anderen Themen, über die er so gerne doziert, sei. Vielmehr werden seine Ansichten allgemein für geradezu peinlich dumm gehalten. Sie werden aus genau demselben Grund immer wieder abgedruckt, aus dem man annimmt, dass er eines Tages König sein wird. Ihm werden angeborene Eigenschaften/Qualitäten zugeschrieben, durch die er sich von seinen zukünftigen Untertanen abhebt.

Sogar heute noch kann eine Monarchie, die gehasst oder verachtet wird, überleben. Aber die Zielscheibe von Spott zu sein ist tödlich. Unser Gelächter sollte jedoch durch Mitleid gemäßigt werden. Die königliche Familie steht vor einer unerträglichen Entscheidung. Wenn die Königin sagen sollte, wie Queen Victoria es gesagt hätte, dass die Buchführung/Konten der königlichen Familie das gemeine Volk nichts angeht, würde sie für ihre Arroganz verurteilt werden. Andererseits wird, indem sie ihren Höflingen erlaubte die Art und Weise, wie sie ihr Geld ausgibt, zu rechtfertigen, der Mythos der Monarchie zerstört.

Es ist unmöglich, eine Monarchie zu haben, die gleichzeitig königlich und menschlich ist.